

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/845,716	05/02/2001	Soren Nielsen	NIELSEN=3A	3819
1444 7	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW			DEBERRY, REGINA M	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/11/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/845,716	NIELSEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Regina M. DeBerry	1647				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	6 April 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,11 and 13-17 is/are pending	g in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,11 and 13-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a):	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Petition to Vacate Restriction Requirement and Subsequent Office Action filed 26 April 2004, the restriction requirement (02 October 2003) and Non-Final Office Action (25 February 2004) are vacated, thereby rendering Applicant's election filed 02 December 2003 moot. The restriction has been reformulated as follows.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 2, 5, 17, drawn in part, to a method for treating/preventing a condition in the tissue of the organ of a mammal said condition caused by ischemia of the tissue comprising administering a pharmaceutically effective dose of alpha-MSH and/or alpha-MSH equivalent and EPO and/or EPO equivalent to the mammal in need thereof, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 13, drawn in part, to a method for treating/preventing a condition in the tissue of the organ of a mammal, said condition caused by coronary artery disease, comprising administering a pharmaceutically effective dose of alpha-MSH and/or alpha-MSH equivalent and EPO and/or EPO equivalent to the mammal in need thereof, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,716

Art Unit: 1647

III. Claim 11, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a combination of alpha-MSH or and/or alpha-MSH equivalent and EPO and/or EPO equivalent together with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, classified in class 530, subclass 350.

Page 3

- IV. Claims 1, 2, 5, 14, drawn in part, to a method for treating/preventing a condition in the tissue of the organ of a mammal, said condition caused by atheromatous disease with thrombosis, embolism, aortic aneurysm, allergic reaction comprising administering a pharmaceutically effective dose of alpha-MSH and/or alpha-MSH equivalent and EPO and/or EPO to the mammal in need thereof, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- V. Claims 1, 2, 5, 15, drawn in part, to a method for treating/preventing a condition in the tissue of the organ of a mammal, said condition caused by ischemia secondary to a condition or disease comprising administering a pharmaceutically effective dose of alpha-MSH and/or alpha-MSH equivalent and EPO and/or EPO equivalent to the mammal in need thereof, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- VI. Claims 1, 2, 5, 16, drawn in part, to a method for treating/preventing a condition in the tissue of the organ of a mammal, said condition caused by surgery, transplantation, surgical insertion transplants, devices, grafts, prostheses or other biomedical compounds or devices comprising administering a pharmaceutically effective dose of alpha-MSH and/or

alpha-MSH equivalent and EPO and/or EPO equivalent to the mammal in need thereof, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

Inventions I, II, IV-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The instant Groups are directed to methods that recite structurally and functionally distinct elements, are not required one for the other, and/or achieve different goals.

Invention I requires treating/preventing a condition caused by ischemia of the tissue, which is not required or accomplished by the method steps of the other Groups. Invention II requires treating/preventing a condition caused by coronary artery disease, which is not required or accomplished by the method steps of the other Groups. Invention IV requires treating/preventing a condition caused by atheromatous disease with thrombosis, embolism, aortic aneurysm, allergic reaction, which is not required or accomplished by the method steps of other Groups. Invention V requires treating/preventing a condition caused by ischemia secondary to a condition or disease, which is not required or accomplished by the method steps of other Groups. Invention VI requires treating/preventing a condition caused by surgery, transplantation, surgical insertion transplants, devices, grafts, prostheses or other biomedical compounds

or devices, which is not required or accomplished by the method steps of other Groups.

The instant Groups are directed to methods that encompass treating different patient populations. The Groups require search and consideration of diverse diseases, conditions and techniques, which may not overlap. For example coronary artery disease exhibits a different pathology versus diabetes mellitus. A search on graft transplants would not necessarily overlap with hypotension. Therefore, a search and examination of the methods in one patent application would result in an undue burden, since the searches for the methods are not co-extensive, the classification is different, and/or the subject matter is divergent.

Inventions III and I, II, IV-VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of Group III can be used in process to make antibodies. Furthermore, the process of Groups I, II, IV-VI can all be practiced with a different product.

The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims.

Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where

the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

In addition:

Claim 2 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising different organs. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claim 14 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising different conditions. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claim 15 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising different conditions. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claim 16 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising different conditions. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, separate search requirements, and/or recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina M. DeBerry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda G. Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMD 7/26/04

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elyabett C Kemmens